organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Richard Gilardi,^a* Ray J. Butcher^b and Mao-Xi Zhang^c

^aLaboratory for the Structure of Matter, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington DC 20375, USA, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Howard University, Washington DC 20059, USA, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Chicago, Chicago IL 60637, USA

Correspondence e-mail: gilardi@nrl.navy.mil

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.046 wR factor = 0.136 Data-to-parameter ratio = 10.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

1,2,3,4,5,7-Hexanitrocubane

The hexanitrocubane structure, $C_8H_2N_6O_{12}$, reported herein, is one of the last in the series of nitrocubanes, ranging from mono- to octanitrocubane. In this molecule, the H atoms participate in short hydrogen-bonding contacts to the nitro O atoms in adjoining molecules [2.50 (1) Å], thus linking molecules into a two-dimensional sheet in the *bc* plane. In addition, the O···O contacts in hexanitrocubane are shorter than van der Waals contact distances. The shortest of these [2.766 (3) Å] involve the O atoms of one of the attached nitro groups. These contacts involve molecules in an extended twodimensional sheet parallel to the *ab* plane.

Comment

Nitrocubanes have long been sought after as powerful, shockinsensitive, high-density explosives (Eaton, 1992). In these compounds are combined the energy associated with the highly strained cubane skeleton (Eaton, 1992) with that associated with polynitrated compounds. One of the more interesting stories in organic synthesis in recent years has been the long (ca 20 years) development of methods (Eaton et al., 2000) to make octanitrocubane (Zhang et al., 2000), the 'holy grail' of the energetic materials community. Theoretical predictions (Alster et al., 1981) had indicated that this compound would have one of the highest densities observed in compounds containing only C, H, N and O. In this search, the structures of 1,4-dinitro (Eaton et al., 1984), 1,3,5-trinitro-(Eaton et al., 1993), 1,3,5,7-tetranitro- (Eaton et al., 1993), 1,2,3,5,7-pentanitro- (Lukin et al., 1997), 1,2,3,4,5,6,8-heptanitro- (Zhang et al., 2000), and 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8-octanitrocubane (Zhang et al., 2000) have been reported. However, to date the structure of the simplest member of this series, nitrocubane, is only now being reported (Butcher & Gilardi, 2002).



The structure of 1,2,3,4,5,7-hexanitrocubane, (I), is reported herein; previously the structure of its acetonitrile solvate had been reported (Lukin *et al.*, 1997). Pure hexanitrocubane crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pnma* with four

 \odot 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

0978

Richard Gilardi et al. • C₈H₂N₆O₁₂

Received 16 July 2002 Accepted 30 July 2002 Online 9 August 2002



Figure 1

Displacement ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of the title molecule, showing the atomic labeling scheme.

molecules in the unit cell and thus the molecule has crystallographically imposed mirror symmetry passing through atoms C3, N3, O3A, O3B, C5, N5, O5A, O5B, C6, H6, C8, and H8. Positions C4A and C7A, generated by the mirror plane, correspond to positions C2 and C1 in the conventional cubane numbering scheme. In hexanitrocubane, the C-C bond lengths average 1.565 (6) Å, which is somewhat longer than the average found in all cubane structures, but well within the normal range (Butcher et al., 1995). The nitro O atoms attached to N7 are disordered over two positions, with occupancies of 0.600 (4) and 0.400 (4), which is not unusual for such moieties. As the number of nitro substituents increase, H atoms attached to the cubane skeleton become increasingly acidic (Lukin et al., 1997), and thus participate in stronger hydrogen-bonding interactions than is commonly observed for hydrocarbon H atoms. In this instance, H6 participates in short hydrogen-bonding contacts to O7AB in two adjoining molecules (2.501 Å), thus linking molecules into a two-dimensional sheet in the *ab* plane, as shown in Fig. 2. In addition, just as is the case in pentanitrocubane (Lukin et al., 1997), heptanitrocubane (Zhang et al., 2000), and octanitrocubane (Zhang et al., 2000), several $O \cdots O$ contacts in hexanitrocubane are shorter than the van der Waals contact distance of 3.04 Å (Rowland & Taylor, 1996). The shortest of these, 2.766 Å, involve the O atoms of the nitro group attached to C4. In hexanitrocubane, the nitro groups attached to C4 (and C4A which is related to C4 by the crystallographic mirror plane) are the only nitro groups that are ortho to three other nitro groups. These contacts are between the molecules that lie in an extended two-dimensional sheet parallel to the bc plane, as shown in Fig. 3.

Density is of critical importance to the performance of an explosive. The overall packing in hexanitrocubane described above leads to a density of 1.931 Mg m^{-3} at 294 K. While this



Figure 2

The molecules of (I) linking into a two-dimensional sheet in the *ab* plane through short hydrogen-bonding contacts to C7 in adjoining molecules.



Figure 3

Short $O \cdots O$ contacts from the C4 nitro group linking up molecules in an extended two-dimensional sheet parallel to the *bc* plane.

is less than that observed for pentanitrocubane (1.959 Mg m⁻³; Lukin *et al.*, 1997), heptanitrocubane (2.028 Mg m⁻³; Zhang *et al.*, 2000), and octanitrocubane (1.979 Mg m⁻³; Zhang *et al.*, 2000), this is still an impressive value for a compound containing only C, H, N, and O, and is

much higher than the value previously observed for its acetonitrile solvate (1.676 Mg m⁻³; Lukin *et al.*, 1997). The metrical parameters found for this unsolvated structure of hexanitrocubane are very similar to those found for the acetonitrile solvate (Lukin *et al.*, 1997).

Experimental

The preparation of hexanitrobenzene has been reported previously (Lukin *et al.*, 1997). Solvent-free crystals were grown by slow evaporation from a mixed HNO_3/H_2SO_4 solution at 313 K.

Crystal data

 $C_{8}H_{2}N_{6}O_{12}$ $M_{r} = 374.16$ Orthorhombic, *Pnma* a = 13.936 (2) Å b = 10.8870 (19) Å c = 8.4833 (14) Å $V = 1287.1 (4) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4 $D_{x} = 1.931 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 4545 reflections $\theta = 2.8-28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.19 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 (2) KPlate, orange $0.74 \times 0.29 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Data collection

Bruker P4/CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (Bruker, 2001) $T_{min} = 0.819, T_{max} = 0.962$ 9511 measured reflections 1655 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$ $wR(F^2) = 0.136$ S = 1.031655 reflections 157 parameters All H-atom parameters refined 1202 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.026$ $\theta_{max} = 28.3^{\circ}$ $h = -18 \rightarrow 18$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 9$

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0747P)^2]$
+ 0.3718P]
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.35 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

All H atoms were initially located in a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. The nitro O atoms attached to N7 are disordered over two positions, with occupancies of 0.600 (4) and 0.400 (4), as is commonly found for such moieties.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors acknowledge the financial support from the Office of Naval Research, Mechanics Division. RJB wishes to acknowledge the ASEE/Navy Summer Faculty Research Program for support during the summer of 2002.

References

- Alster, J., Sandus, O., Genter, R., Slagg, N., Ritchie, J. P., Dewar, M. & J. S. (1981). Working Group Meeting on High-Energy Molecules, Hilton Head, SC, USA, 28–29 April.
- Bruker (2001). SMART (Version 5.624) and SAINT (Version 6.04). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Butcher, R. J., Bashir-Hashemi, A. & Gilardi, R. (1995). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 25, 661–670.
- Butcher, R. J. & Gilardi, R. (2002). In preparation.
- Eaton, P. E. (1992). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 31, 1421-1436.
- Eaton, P. E., Gilardi, R. & Zhang, M.-X. (2000). Adv. Mater. 12, 1143-1148.
- Eaton, P. E., Ravi Shankar, B. K., Price, G. D., Pluth, J. J., Gilbert, E. E., Alster, J. & Sandus, O. (1984). J. Org. Chem. 49, 185–186.
- Eaton, P. E., Yusheng Xiong, Y. & Gilardi, R. (1993). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 10195–10202.
- Lukin, K. A., Li, J., Eaton, P. E., Kanomata, N., Hain, J., Punzalan, E. & Gilardi, R. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 9591–9602.
- Rowland, R. S. & Taylor, R. (1996). J. Phys. Chem. 100, 7384-7391.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison Wisconsin USA.
- Zhang, M.-X., Eaton, P. E. & Gilardi, R. (2000). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 39, 401–404.